

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/725,047	Applicant(s) LU ET AL.	
	Examiner Lisa M. Caputo	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Lisa M. Caputo.

(3) _____

(2) Thomas Otterlee (Reg. No. 48,652).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2006

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in order to alert him that the supplemental appeal brief filed 5 October 2006 was still not considered compliant by the patent appeals specialists since the summary of the claimed subject matter did not reference the independent claims specifically by number.